

July 2025 | No. 51

NEWSLETTER

HIGH INSPECTOR OF JUSTICE

www.ild.al | info@ild.al



Inspektori i Lartë i Drejtësisë



@ILD_Albania



Inspektori i Lartë i Drejtësisë

WORKING MEETING WITH CIVIL SOCIETY. METANI: HIJ IS ALSO CONTROLLABLE BY CSOs



Boulevard: "Dëshmorët e Kombit" | BUILDING No.13 | Tiranë, Albania



WORKING MEETING WITH CIVIL SOCIETY. METANI: HIJ IS ALSO CONTROLLABLE BY CSOs

The High Inspector of Justice, Mr. Artur Metani, held a working meeting with Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), which focus on justice and human rights in their work, to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice and priorities for the future, based on the institution's activity report for 2024 and the dynamic comparison of work in 5 years of operation.

The meeting was attended by CSOs with which HIJ has cooperation agreements and other organizations, specifically Mr. Afrim Krasniqi from the Institute of Political Studies (ISP), Ms. Aranita Brahaj from

the Albanian Institute of Science/Open Data Albania, Mr. Arjan Dyrmishi from the Center for the Study of Democracy and Governance, Ms. Rovena Sulstarova from the Institute for Democracy and Mediation (IDM), Mr. Rigels Xhemollari from Civic Resistance, Ms. Emilia Llambro from the Center for European Development and Integration (CEDI) and Ms. Teisa Kurti from the Altri Center.

Also international partners were invited with whom HIJ has been cooperating for years, from the Delegation of the European Union, OPDAT, the OSCE Presence, the Embassy of the Kingdom of the Nether-

For an open and exhaustive communication with CSOs and international partners, the High Inspector of Justice Metani was accompanied by the Unit of the Inspectors of the HIJ office.

lands and the EU4 Justice mission, which supported this activity.

For an open and exhaustive communication with CSOs and international partners, the High Inspector of Justice Metani was accompanied by the Unit of the Inspectors of the HIJ office.

In his opening speech, thanking them for their presence, Mr. Metani stated that: “I consider this type of communication with civil society organizations to be extremely important, as I consider you to be important external actors because not only

through our meetings, but also through your activities and projects, you are well acquainted with the way the Office of the High Inspector of Justice functions. So, our expectation is for a qualified opinion and we can achieve this together, by receiving our explanations for every aspect of the work of the HIJ that interests you.”

Mr. Metani made a graphic presentation of the dynamics of the work of the HIJ during 2024, focusing on the main functions of the institution, related to the administration and handling of complaints, investigation and disciplinary proceedings, and in-



spections. The High Inspector of Justice further presented the progress of the HIJ activity over the years, with concrete data, since the start of its operation in 2020 and the goals for increasing the efficiency of work, in the function of transparency and strengthening public trust in the HIJ and the justice institutions.

Mr. Metani responded to the participants' interest in aspects of the HIJ's work over the years, but also in developments outside the institution on the role and public expectations. Evaluating as very valuable the contributions and suggestions that were conveyed at the meeting by Civil Society Organizations, the High Inspector of Justice also assessed as necessary the monitoring reports that are made for the HIJ, most re-

cently by the Albanian Helsinki Committee.

“The Civil Society monitoring reports on the HIJ are an indication that this institution is monitorable, regardless of whether we agree or disagree with the findings presented, because ultimately this is how democracy works. It is important that the work of an institution is monitored, analyzed and evaluated, and this is a democratic mechanism to be nurtured and evaluated.”

At the end of the meeting, the participants shared the view that professional contacts serve to understand the dynamics of work, not only of the institution, but also of the needs and priorities to be defined and implemented.



PHOTOS FROM THE ACTIVITY



GJETJE NGA RAPORTI I VEPRIMTARISË SË ILD PËR VITIN 2024
DHE PRIORITETET PËR VITIN 2025

Takim pune me Organizata të Shoqërisë Civile
22 korrik 2025

Artur Metani
Inspektori i Lartë i Drejtësisë



The screenshot shows the website header with the logo and navigation menu. The main content area is titled 'Thematic Inspection Reports' and features a vertical timeline of two reports:

- May 9, 2025:** Documentation by judges of informing the parties about the possibility of resolving the case through mediation for completed civil cases. Inspection carried out at the Courts of First Instance of General Jurisdiction: Elbasan, Tirana, Vlora, for the period 01.05.2024-31.07.2024.
- May 6, 2025:** On the procedure for the announcement and reasoning of court decisions. Inspection conducted in the Courts of First Instance of General Jurisdiction in Fier and Shkodra, for the period 01.01.2023-01.06.2023.

The sidebar on the right contains the following text:

THIS IS HOW EASY IT IS TO COMPLAIN WITH THE HIJ!

The Office of the High Inspector of Justice offers a simplified complaint process through our website. This makes the procedure as easy as possible for citizens or institutions who want to file allegations of violations by judges and prosecutors in Albania.

To file a complaint [click here](#)

The procedure's explanatory note is accompanied by concrete examples of decisions made by the High Inspector of Justice for each criterion set forth in the law regarding the admissibility and review of complaints.

For more information [click here](#)

To learn more about complaints and how they are handled [click here](#)

INSPECTIONS: A TOOL FOR MONITORING THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM

The High Inspector of Justice: 21 Thematic Prosecutorial Council, and the Prosecutor and Institutional Inspections in Courts and General. The Inspector is also responsible Prosecution Offices. for conducting institutional inspections of courts and prosecution offices. Disciplinary

Law no. 115/2016 “On the Governance of the Justice System,” as amended, defines the scope of activity of the High Inspector of Justice in Article 194. According to the law, the High Inspector of Justice is the state authority responsible for verifying complaints, investigating disciplinary violations, and initiating disciplinary proceedings against judges and prosecutors of all levels, members of the High Judicial Council, members of the High

Prosecutorial Council, and the Prosecutor General. The Inspector is also responsible for conducting institutional inspections of courts and prosecution offices. Disciplinary proceedings may be initiated upon written complaints submitted by individuals, legal entities or public bodies with a legitimate interest, the Minister of Justice, the Prosecutor General, any member of the High Judicial Council or the High Prosecutorial Council, the head of the court where the alleged misconduct occurred, or the head of the prosecution office where the prosecutor serves.

In addition to handling complaints, thematic administrative measures and 15 and institutional inspections serve as a recommendations addressed directly to the mechanism to assess the situation within a High Inspector of Justice.

specific area of focus over a defined time period across courts and prosecution offices. Since the establishment of the institution, a The findings from these inspections include total of 21 inspections have been recommendations for issuing or amending conducted, of which 12 have been finalized. administrative acts applicable to the courts The corresponding reports, containing a and prosecution offices concerned, as well total of 118 recommendations, have been as for the Office of the High Inspector of published on the official website of the Justice itself. Moreover, these findings may institution.

serve as grounds (indicia) to initiate These inspections have examined aspects of disciplinary investigations, in line with the the work of the courts and judicial conditions and procedures set forth in Law administration, as well as prosecution no. 96/2016 “On the Status of Judges and offices and their administrative staff. They Prosecutors in the Republic of Albania,” as have been conducted based on the amended. institution’s annual inspection plan and upon written requests from the High Judicial

During 2024, the Office of the High Inspector Council, the High Prosecutorial Council, the of Justice completed six thematic Minister of Justice, and the Prosecutor inspections, resulting in 54 General.

recommendations: 9 recommendations related to the adoption or amendment of The completed inspections have focused on acts, 29 recommendations aimed at taking the handling of complaints related to



Thematic and Institutional Inspections

TOTAL INSPECTIONS

21

COMPLETED INSPECTIONS

12

Certain inspection themes, such as random case assignment, case allocation practices, reasons and procedures for replacing prosecutors, case duration, and the reasoning and publication of court decisions, are revisited in subsequent years in order to provide a clearer and ongoing picture of how these phenomena evolve across the justice system.

“conditional release,” conducted in 15 first instance courts and five former appellate courts prior to the implementation of the new judicial map, along with their respective prosecution offices. Fier, Korçë, Shkodër, Tiranë, and Vlorë, as well as the appellate prosecution offices of Durrës, Gjirokastër, Korçë, and Shkodër.

Inspections have also been conducted to verify compliance with the conditions and criteria for the imposition of personal security measures in criminal cases involving the offense of “unlawful possession and manufacture of firearms, explosive weapons, and ammunition.” These were carried out at the prosecution offices attached to the judicial district courts of Fier, Shkodër, and Vlorë. One inspection, partially based on citizen complaints submitted to the High Inspector of Justice, concerned the random assignment of cases (automated case allocation system) and the monitoring of electronic system reports in courts. This inspection was conducted at the High Court, at both levels of the judiciary for Corruption and Organized Crime, and in several other courts.

Further inspections addressed the adoption of administrative and procedural measures in the context of the implementation of General Instruction No. 12, dated 20.07.2020, issued by the Prosecutor General, concerning the regulation of relations between prosecutors and the heads of prosecution offices. These inspections were conducted in Elbasan, Shkodër, Tiranë, and Vlorë, and, in the case of prosecutorial case assignment, also included SPAK and other courts across the country.

Other inspections, for which final reports have been completed, covered issues such as the procedure for announcing and first instance courts of Durrës, Elbasan, as the procedure for announcing and

reasoning judicial decisions at the Judicial Certain inspection themes, such as District Court of Tirana (Civil Chamber) random case assignment, case allocation and the Tirana Administrative Court of practices, reasons and procedures for First Instance; the random assignment of replacing prosecutors, case duration, and cases and monitoring of electronic system the reasoning and publication of court reports in courts; the practice and decisions, are revisited in subsequent grounds for the replacement of years in order to provide a clearer and prosecutors; the documentation by judges ongoing picture of how these phenomena informing parties about the possibility of evolve across the justice system. resolving the case through mediation.

For more detailed information, the full These inspections were conducted in reports of thematic and institutional various courts and prosecution offices inspections, as well as reports on throughout the country, including SPAK completed inspections, are available on and the Special Court. the official website: <https://ild.al/en>

The screenshot shows the website of the High Inspector of Justice (IJD). The header includes the logo and name of the institution, along with a navigation menu: Home, About Us, News, Legislation, Complaint Form, Transparency Program, RESIJ. The main content area is titled "Thematic and Institutional Inspections" and features five summary cards, each describing an inspection theme and its duration. A sidebar on the right contains a blue section titled "THIS IS HOW EASY IT IS TO COMPLAIN WITH THE HIJ!" which explains the simplified complaint process and provides links for filing a complaint and learning more about the procedure.

HIGH INSPECTOR OF JUSTICE

Home About Us News Legislation Complaint Form Transparency Program RESIJ

Thematic and Institutional Inspections

On the duration of completed civil judicial processes with opposing parties. *Inspection carried out at the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction of Saranda, for the period 01.04.2024-30.06.2024.*

On the process of circulation of documentation from the moment of registration in the secretariat until the completion of its treatment. *Inspection carried out at the Prosecution Office at the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction Dibër, for the period 01.04.2024-30.06.2024.*

On the allocation of cases by draw, as well as the checking of electronic system reports. *Inspection carried out at the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeal of General Jurisdiction, the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction Shkodra, for the period 01.01.2024-31.12.2024.*

On the procedure for the announcement and reasoning of judicial decisions. *Inspection carried out at the First Instance Court of General Jurisdiction Gjirokastra, for the period 01.04.2024-30.06.2024.*

On the processing of the indictment material by the prosecutor, within the framework of the elements defined in the Code of Criminal Procedure. *Inspection carried out in the Prosecutor's Offices at the Courts of First Instance: Korçë, Saranda, for the period 01.01.2024-31.12.2024.*

THIS IS HOW EASY IT IS TO COMPLAIN WITH THE HIJ!

The Office of the High Inspector of Justice offers a simplified complaint process through our website. This makes the procedure as easy as possible for citizens or institutions who want to file allegations of violations by judges and prosecutors in Albania.

To file a complaint [click here](#)

The procedure's explanatory note is accompanied by concrete examples of decisions made by the High Inspector of Justice for each criterion set forth in the law regarding the admissibility and review of complaints.

For more information [click here](#)

To learn more about complaints and how they are handled [click here](#)



HIJ: THE ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM FOR MAGISTRATES WORKS

The High Inspector of Justice, Mr. Artur Metani, participated in the roundtable “Assessment of the Institutional Architecture in Function of the Performance and Integrity of the Special Prosecution: Guarantees for Independence and Accountability Mechanisms”, organized by The Center for the Study of Democracy and Governance, where the evaluation report “Independence and Accountability of the Special Prosecution Office, as Prerequisites for Institutional Performance and Integrity” was presented.

Mr. Metani focused in his speech on the

concepts and practice of independence and accountability of magistrates, presenting statistics from the 5-year activity of the HIJ on the level of disciplinary check over prosecutors and judges of SPAK, Special Court of First Instance for Corruption and Organized Crime and members of the High Prosecutorial Council.

Full speech of the High Inspector of Justice, Mr. Artur Metani

Honorable Mr. Dyrmishi!

Honorable Speaker of the Parliament!

Honorable Mr. Minister!
Honorable Ambassadors!
Honorable Colleagues!
Honorable Participants!

When examining or analyzing the activity of an independent power, such as the judiciary, the discussion undoubtedly begins with the definition and importance of the principle of independence of this power. Undoubtedly, independence is an important element of the rule of law and this is where the discussion should begin for any assessment of the judiciary. Of course, the independence of the judiciary is something that cannot be achieved in a day, it is something that requires continuous pursuit, it is something that requires political will and the development of a country's democracy.

The conclusion that there is no democracy without an independent judiciary and that there is no independent judiciary without democracy may seem a little disappointing at first glance, but this shows the strong connection between the rule of law and democracy. This is one side of the coin. The most important consequence of the principle of independence of magistrates is the lack of responsibility for the decisions they give, according to their conviction based on the law. However, the consequences of power for the assessment that society gives to magistrates is such that there must be responsibility, including removal from office in case of violations that justify this action. This is because independence is not a privilege, but a responsibility! In this sense, there must be a continuous process of independence and accountability.



These processes must be characterized by structural and financial independence, immobility and counter-reaction at the same time. The more powers the judicial power possesses, the higher the demands for accountability will be. For this purpose, it is important that the bodies of judicial governance have an active approach to the accountability system of magistrates, applying new approaches, which consist in the combined application of standards of their accountability and responsibility. When referring to the concept of accountability of magistrates, we must bear in mind the fact that this concept is different from that of their responsibility, concepts that are linked together, and both together constitute what is called the accountability system of magistrates. The accountability standard includes the recruitment or selection of magistrates on the basis of merit, their professional qualification, immobility from duty, substantial independence,

structural and financial independence, immobility and physical integrity, exercise and control by the highest courts, based on the principles of internal independence of magistrates and informal between international jurisprudence and legal doctrine and the creation of an ethical and professional system of the work of magistrates.

If these means are not effective, then in exceptional cases, as well as depending on certain circumstances, measures related to the system of responsibility of magistrates and related to their criminal, civil, or disciplinary liability are applied. In this sense, magistrates can be prosecuted according to the provisions of the Criminal Code and the Code of Criminal Procedure and specifically SPAK, which investigates criminal offenses in the field of corruption, has had several cases where several magistrates were the subject of its investigation. Sec-





Secondly, the magistrate has no civil responsibility due to the exercise of his function except in the case where he has shown gross negligence. And thirdly, magistrates of all levels, members of the councils, the Prosecutor General, as well as members of the Supreme Court bear disciplinary responsibility, in the event that, while exercising his duty or outside it, he undertakes actions that constitute disciplinary violations, according to the legal provisions in force.

Given these parameters and these standards, I would like to present you with some numbers, not because the numbers constitute a standard, but they show a trend in the functioning of the accountability mechanism of the magistrate's work and in the specific case of SPAK. The numbers constitute the processing of complaints at all levels, or all structures that are responsible

for controlling the work of SPAK.

For the period 2020 – July 2025, 128 complaints against SPAK magistrates were filed with the High Inspector of Justice, of which 92 complaints have been reviewed and 36 complaints are in process. The law provides that if the complainant does not agree with the decision-making of the High Inspector of Justice, the complainant has the right to appeal the decision to the High Prosecutorial Council. For the same period, 5 appeals were filed with the High Prosecutorial Council against 92 decisions of the High Inspector of Justice, and this relevant committee of the council decided to uphold the decisions of the High Inspector of Justice. For the First Instance of the GJKKO, for the entire period 2020 – July 2025, a total of 53 appeals were filed with the High Inspector of Justice, of which 42 appeals were reviewed and 11 appeals are in pro-



cess. In the same way, 3 appeals were filed with the Court of Appeal for Or- with the High Prosecutorial Council against the decisions of the High Inspector of Jus- tice. In all three cases, the High Prosecuto- rial Council confirmed the decision-making of the High Inspector of Justice. For the period 2020 – July 2025, 55 complaints were filed with the Court of Appeal for Or- ganized Crime and Corruption with the High Inspector of Justice, 49 were re- viewed and 6 are in process. In the same way, two decisions of the High Inspector of Justice were appealed to the High Pros- ecutorial Council and in both cases the de-



cision of the High Inspector of Justice was upheld. For the period 2020 – July 2025, 174 complaints were filed with the High Inspector of Justice against members of the Supreme Court, of which it results that 112 complaints were reviewed and 62 are in process. All of these, (including the High Prosecutorial Council), for this period, 7 complaints were filed with the High Inspector of Justice against members of the HPC and 4 complaints were reviewed while 3 complaints are in process.

I would like to draw attention here to the fact that, in relation to the High Prosecutorial Council, the High Inspector of Justice has had two investigative procedures, which have been terminated because the evidence was insufficient to prove the commission of

a disciplinary violation. All of this shows, in my view, that the accountability system is working and I believe this is one of the standards achieved in these 5 years. This system is working and every magistrate, including SPAK prosecutors, including judges of courts for organized crime and corruption or other courts, feels that the accountability system is there. Every complaint against them is reviewed in accordance with the standards, maintaining the right balance between the public interest in seeing how a prosecutor or a judge administers justice, and of course, with what we said from the beginning, the independence of judges and prosecutors. I believe that the trend is positive.

Thank you!





THE INSPECTION UNIT OF THE HIGH INSPECTOR OF JUSTICE TRAINED ON CEPEJ GUIDELINES

The Unit of Inspectors and the Assistant Inspectors Unit in the Office of the High Inspector of Justice conducted a two-day training focused on increasing inspection skills according to the CEPEJ (European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice) guidelines.

The activity is part of the work strategy of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice for continuous staff training and was supported by the HFIII project, Strengthening the Quality and Efficiency of Justice in Albania (SEJ IV) of the European Union and the Council of Europe. At the start of the training, the High Inspector of Justice Mr. Artur Metani expressed his gratitude to CEPEJ,

which has supported the Office of the High Inspector of Justice since the beginning of its work and emphasized the importance that the institution attaches to updating the inspection staff with the best professional knowledge on the methodologies and work processes for the verification and investigation of judges and prosecutors.

CEPEJ experts, José Manuel Santos Pais, Prosecutor at the Prosecutor General Office of Portugal and Gilles Accomando, Director of the Training Center of the Paris Bar Association, former chairman of the Court of Appeal, introduced the HIJ inspectors to the guiding principles for the evaluation of judges and prosecutors and the



transparency of the evaluation criteria, ology and guidelines with the legal frame-
 time management by prosecutors and the work and national practices in Albania, with
 time management checklist. HIJ inspectors a focus on recommendations that can be
 and assistant inspectors held discussions adapted and implemented in the local con-
 with experts comparing the CEPEJ method- text.



PHOTOS FROM THE ACTIVITY



JULY OF HIJ IN NUMBER

During **July 2025**, the Office of the High Inspector of Justice administered **207 complaints which were submitted by 201 citizens and 6 by institution**. The Office ensured the standardized confirmation process of receiving complaints for newly submitted complaints in accordance with procedural requirements.

Complaints involving judges and prosecutors were assigned to Inspectors and are currently at different stages of processing, including initial review, archiving, verification, or draft decision issuance.

ARCHIVED	VERIFICATION	DISCIPLINARY PROCESS
<p>Out of 140 cases reviewed, 79 decisions were rendered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 53 archiving decisions after initial review • 26 archiving decisions after verification 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 verification decisions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 decision for the initiation of disciplinary proceedings concerning one magistrate (judge); • 3 decision for closing the disciplinary investigation for 3 magistrate (2 prosecutors, 1 judge); • 2 requests for the initiation of disciplinary proceedings concerning 2 magistrates (1 prosecutors and 1 judge)

COMPLAINT PROCESS AT HIJ

The Office of the High Inspector of Justice continues with intensive work to confirm the complaints carried and those administered since February 1, 2020, when it was established as an institution.

- To facilitate this process for interested persons, HIJ has published on the official website a special complaint form, which:
- Can be completed directly and submitted online;
- Can be downloaded, completed and sent by email to info@ild.al or by mail at the address Boulevard "Dëshmorët e Kombit",



Building no.13, Tirana.

It can also be completed by being present personally at the Office of the High Inspector of Justice and if necessary, asking assistance by the team of the Complaints and Public relations Office. Within 5 days Upon receiving the complaint, the High Inspector of Justice confirms in writing its receiving.

The criteria for the admissibility of the complaint are published on the official website of HIJ www.ild.al, in the section "**How complaints are accepted**".

PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT OF DECISIONS

Even during the month of **June 2025**, the Office of the High Inspector of Justice made public announcements of archiving decisions for **6 complainants**, who were unreachable at the addresses communicated by them. In addition to the stands of the HIJ, according to the law, these announcements are also found on our official website and posted in the stands of the municipalities where the complainants come from.





While assisting the complainants

The team of complaints office welcomes citizens-complainants every working day from 09:00 am to 02:00 pm, while for increased communication with interested citizens, in addition to communication through

the website and official address, the Office of the High Inspector of Justice has made available the phone number +355 4 2217217. Coordinator for the right to information is part of this sector.



During the month of July 2025, the Complaints and Public Relations Sector:

- ⇒ **Welcomed 29 complainants, who were present personally at the premises of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice, and**
- ⇒ **Has had 26 phone calls from citizens, who requested information on the stage of their complaints or Information on completing the complaint form.**



COORDINATOR FOR THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION

In accordance with the principles and rules provided for in law no. 119/2014 "On the right to information", the coordinator for the right to information in the Office of the High Inspector of Justice, enables every applicant the right to be familiar with public information, as well as communicates according to the need with the applicant regarding the processing of the request for public information. Requests for information are filed by citizens, legal entities, interested

groups, and can be send through the "Albanian Post", e-mail at the address: info@ild.al, as well as the e-mail of the coordinator for the right to information: amanta.jasa@ild.al.

The information provided in the transparency program is published and continuously updated in the register of requests and responses, within the legal deadline.

MONTHLY NUMBERS

*During **July 2025**, at the Office of High Inspector of Justice, **18 requests for information** were received, which were processed in all cases within 5 days (the law provides that a response must be return to the applicant within 10 days).*

HIJ ON TWITTER

Inspektori i Larte i Drejtesise Artur Metani @ILD_Albania · Jul 22
 Grateful to the civil society representatives and partners who joined us today at the working meeting on the work of the #HIJ and priorities for the future. #HIJ #EU4Justice



From ild.al

1 7 107

Inspektori i Larte i Drejtesise Artur Metani @ILD_Albania · Jul 8
 We had a valuable communication with justice institutions, the legislative and executive branches, CSO-s & international partners regarding the role of the institutions in ensuring the independence and effectiveness of the Special Prosecution Office.



From ild.al

1 3 60

Inspektori i Larte i Drejtesise Artur Metani @ILD_Albania · Jul 4
 The Unit of Inspectors and the Assistant Inspectors Unit in the Office of the High Inspector of Justice conducted a two-day training focused on increasing inspection skills according to the CEPEJ guidelines. #HIJ #CEPEJ



From ild.al


1 5 69

Inspektori i Larte i Drejtesise Artur Metani @ILD_Albania · Jul 28
 Shifrave të përpunimit të #ankesave t'u shtohet në pjesën balllore të faqes së #ILD, edhe e dhëna e inspektimeve, lista totale e inspektimeve dhe ato të përfunduara bashkë me raportet përkatëse. Na vizitoni në ild.al #ILD #transparencë #informimpublik




1 4 64

- ✓ 7743 të konfirmuara
 - ✓ 6115 të trajtuara
 - ✓ 1628 në proces trajtimi
 - ✓ 139 në proces verifikimi pas shqyrtimit fillestar
 - ✓ 139 magjistratë të hetuar
 - ✓ 49 kërkesa për procedim disiplinor
- Mbi procesin e ankimit #ILD ild.al/sq/pyetje-te-s...



- ✓ Si cdo të hënë, përpunimi javor i ankesave në #ILD #transparencë
 - ✓ 7790 të konfirmuara
 - ✓ 6118 të trajtuara
 - ✓ 1672 në proces trajtimi
 - ✓ 139 në proces verifikimi
 - ✓ 140 magjistratë të hetuar
 - ✓ 51 kërkesa për procedim disiplinor
- Më shumë ild.al



July 2025

All rights reserved
High Inspector of
Justice
© ILD



CONTACT HIJ

WEB: ild.al
EMAIL: info@ild.al
ADRESS: Boulevard “Dëshmorët e
Kombit”, Building nr.13 Tirana,
Albania



Spot prezantues ILD
Prezantimi i Inspektorit të Lartë të Drejtësisë
@youtube.com

*Kliko to follow the spot
Presenting HIJ*



Më skano!