

## HIGH INSPECTOR OF JUSTICE HELD A WORKING VISIT TO KOSOVO *Page 2*

High Inspector of Justice Mr. Artur Metani, accompanied by a delegation from the HIJ inspection unit, held a working visit to Pristina, where he met with leaders of justice institutions and the academic staff of the Faculty of Law of the University of Pristina.



### HIJ in numbers

Out of 149 cases reviewed, 92 decisions were rendered:

- 80 archiving decisions after initial review
- 12 archiving decisions after verification

22 verification decisions

2 decisions for closing the disciplinary investigation for 2 magistrates (1 judge, 1 prosecutor)

2 thematic inspections

### The citizen at HIJ


During January 2026:

- welcomed **27 complainants**, which were present personally at the premises of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice, and
- has had **18 phone calls** from citizens, who requested information on the stage of their complaints or Information on completing the complaint form;
- **14 requests** for information were received.



HIJ on cooperation with EU4Justice: Let's transform every good work practice into sustainable standards

*Pg. 3-4*



HIJ staff training on the use of artificial intelligence in the justice system

*Pg. 5-6*

HIJ inspection unit, training on the methodology for verification of assets

*Pg. 7-8*

Press release on the completion of the verification initiated on initiative

*Pg. 9-10*

Study visit of the HIJ inspection team to Spain on disciplinary supervision

*Pg. 11*

## HIGH INSPECTOR OF JUSTICE HELD A WORKING VISIT TO KOSOVO



High Inspector of Justice Mr. Artur Metani, accompanied by a delegation from the HIJ inspection unit, held a working visit to Pristina, where he met with leaders of justice institutions and the academic staff of the Faculty of Law of the University of Pristina.

In the meeting held with the Head of the Supreme Court of Kosovo, Mr. Fejzullah Rexhepi and the judge of the Supreme Court, Mr. Qerim Ademaj, High Inspector of Justice Mr. Artur Metani first focused on the role and functions of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice in relation to the reviewing of complaints, disciplinary investigations and inspections of magistrates.

The Head of the Supreme Court informed the High Inspector of Justice about the functions of the Court he leads, as well as the competence that the Supreme Court has in reviewing complaints of judges and prosecutors in Kosovo, against the decisions of the Disciplinary Commissions of the respective Councils.

Mr. Metani and Mr. Rexhepi concluded that the building and strengthening of professional relations between the two institutions contributes to the promotion of ethical and professional standards in the justice systems of the two countries.

The High Inspector of Justice and the delegation that accompanied him held a working meeting at the Kosovo Judicial Council, where they were hosted by the Chairman of the Judicial Council Mr. Albert Zogaj, the Deputy Chairman of this Council Ms. Arjeta Sadiku, the Chairman of the Committee of Performance Evaluation for Judges, Ms. Teuta Brahimi, as well as the General Director of the Secretariat of the Kosovo Judicial Council, Mr. Shkëlzen Maliqi.

Mr. Metani thanked Mr. Zogaj for his participation and engagement in the regional conference of inspection services, which was held in Tirana on 25-26 September 2025, praised the inter-institutional cooperation, emphasizing the importance of exchanging best professional practices and institutional experiences.

Praising the excellent inter-institutional cooperation, Chairman Zogaj informed the High Inspector of Justice on developments within the judicial system of the Republic of Kosovo, including reform processes, the advancement of accountability and integrity mechanisms, as well as the challenges faced by the Kosovo Judicial Council.

The two interlocutors agreed to intensify cooperation and develop joint initiatives in the future, including through professional exchanges in the respective institutions.

A special stop of the working visit of the High Inspector of Justice in Kosovo was at the Faculty of Law of the “Hasan Pristina” University, with which HIJ has a cooperation agreement, since October 2022.

In the meeting with the Dean of the Faculty of Law, Mr. Avni Puka and the academic staff of this Faculty, the cooperation so far was considered quite productive, especially with the study visits of students of the Faculty of Law of Pristina to the Office of the High Inspector of Justice, and ideas were presented for future cooperation, as a legacy of generations, but also for the consolidation of justice institutions, since today’s students are the future part of the system in Kosovo.



## HIJ ON COOPERATION WITH EU4JUSTICE: LET'S TRANSFORM EVERY GOOD WORK PRACTICE INTO SUSTAINABLE STANDARDS



The Office of the High Inspector of Justice participated in the closing conference of the EU4Justice project, “Reflecting on achievements and looking ahead with the independent justice institutions and partners in Albania”, which brought together heads and representatives of judicial governance institutions, international partners, Ministry of Justice, legal professionals etc., to highlight the project’s main achievements and strategic priorities, and to demonstrate visible support and commitment from partners and institutional actors for the reforms.

The High Inspector of Justice was represented at the conference by the Secretary General of the HIJ, Mrs. Dëshira Pasko, who conveyed some reflections of the institution on the almost 3-year cooperation with EU4Justice.

The full speech given by Ms. Pasko:

Honorable Ambassadors,

Honorable Heads of Justice Institutions,

Honorable Participants,

I have the pleasure to convey to you, on behalf of the High Inspector of Justice, Mr. Artur Metani, some reflections on the cooperation that our institution has had with the European Union program EU4Justice, the conclusion of which has brought us all together today.

Being unique in its kind, since for the first time, the inspection of judges and prosecutors of all levels is carried out by a single institution, the High Inspector of Justice has aimed, since the beginning of its activity, to create a culture of independence, professionalism and effectiveness, as a professional challenge, in addition to a legal obligation. In this journey to build and strengthen capacities, consolidate the practice of inspection and disciplinary investigation, based on transparent and professional procedures, in accordance with international standards and best practices, and establish and strengthen standards in the system and efficiency of justice, we have been assisted by international partners, to whom we are grateful.

The professional assistance of the European Union, formerly with the EURALIUS missions and up to the EU4Justice program, has always been important in supporting Albanian justice institutions, in implementing reforms that ensure increased efficiency and quality of justice in our country, and the High Inspector of Justice has been one of the beneficiaries, who has translated the experience gained into efficiency in his daily activity.

Responding to the needs of the High Inspector of Justice, based on the strategic priorities of the institution, EU4Justice has been a present partner, which has continuously provided technical support through targeted professional activities for our institution.

The continuous training of the inspection unit in particular, but also of the staff of the High Inspector of Justice in general, is a working philosophy of the institution, for the systematic strengthening of capacities, which has led to an increase in the efficiency of the institution’s activities. EU4Justice’s assistance has been invaluable through training of the inspection unit of the High Inspector of Justice on various work dimensions, such as the review of complaints, disciplinary investigation, institutional and thematic inspection, issues of ethics and independence of magistrates, but also the exchange of experiences with counterparts from European Union countries.

Thus, the Spanish, Italian and French experience of EU4Justice have served not only to strengthen the capacities of the institution, but also to network and increase visibility through the international engagement of the High Inspector of Justice, as a member of the European Network of Justice Inspection Services, RESIJ.

Of particular value have also been the joint meetings with the High Judicial Council, the High Prosecutorial Council and other justice institutions, on specific work challenges for increasing institutional coordination and communication, supported by EU4Justice.

This European Union program has also assisted HIJ in discussing and drafting working documents, based on European models and best practices, up to the latest historical publication on judicial inspection, with the challenges and achievements of this process in 113 years of the Albanian state, are tools that help the activity, but also mark the memory not only of the institution, but also of every legal professional in their work.

In this spirit, the office of the High Inspector of Justice remains highly interested in continuing this assistance.

In our view, this is important:

Firstly, for overcoming the challenges that the justice system still has ahead,

Secondly, for consolidating the achievements to date,

Thirdly, for transforming every good practice into a sustainable standard, which will serve to strengthen citizens' trust in justice and institutions,

and fourthly, but not least important, for the strong impact that the efficiency of justice institutions will have on the country's membership in the European Union.

Providing justice in a timely, effective, transparent and quality manner remains a challenging process today even for European Union countries themselves. So, even in the case of Albania, it takes time, it requires commitment from every institution and public actor, and undoubtedly also from supporting partners, such as the European Union.

Thank you for your attention!



## HIJ STAFF TRAINING ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM



The staff of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice, including inspectors, assistant inspectors, cabinet members and administrative staff, participated in a one-day training on the use of information technology in the justice system, as well as its role in the activity of courts and prosecution offices. The training was carried out with the support of the CEPEJ (European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice) and (SEJ IV) project of the European Union.

On behalf of the High Inspector of Justice, the Secretary General, Ms. Dëshira Pasko, in her welcoming speech at the opening of the training, expressed her gratitude for the continuous support of the Council of Europe and the European Commission's project on the efficiency of justice (CEPEJ), which has been assisting the Office of the High Inspector of Justice in the process of strengthening institutional capacities in the field of inspection, disciplinary investigation, ethics and independence of magistrates for years. Ms. Pasko emphasized that this training is of particular importance for familiarization with the CEPEJ guidelines, as well as with good practices and the limits of the use of artificial intelligence in the judicial system.

On behalf of the SEJ IV, project manager Ms. Laurela Muça, said that "This training, initiated by the HIJ, precedes the increasing use of IT and artificial intelligence and at the same time also addresses the ethical issues of their use in the judiciary."

CEPEJ expert Mr. Marek Swierczynski first presented the general framework of the use of information technology in the justice system, its role in the activity of courts and prosecutors, as well as the applications of artificial intelligence in judicial systems.

The training also focused on ethics and artificial intelligence in the justice system, transparency, accountability and traceability in the use of artificial intelligence, as well as preserving the independence of the judiciary and the decision-making role of the judge when using artificial intelligence tools.

In the session on best practices and international standards, the HIJ staff was introduced to the standards and instruments of the Council of Europe, the CEPEJ European Ethical Charter on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Judicial Systems and Their Environment, as well as the Guidelines for the Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence by Courts.

In the closing session, the discussions focused on the ethical and professional implications of the use of artificial intelligence tools in the partial drafting of a judicial decision.



## HIJ INSPECTION UNIT, TRAINING ON THE METHODOLOGY FOR VERIFICATION OF ASSETS



The Inspectors Unit and the Assistant Inspectors Unit at the Office of the High Inspector of Justice held a two-day meeting in Tirana, on strengthening the technical and operational capacities of the staff of the High Inspector of Justice (HIJ), for the effective implementation of the Asset Verification Methodology in a sustainable, transparent and methodologically sound manner, supported by the EU4Justice project.

The draft methodology is a document prepared by Lieutenant Colonel Alberto De Ventura from the Guardia di Finanza, for the assessment of assets, based on the existing legal framework, international best practices and proven experiences, supporting the High Inspector of Justice in carrying out assessments in the exercise of his functions, based on international standards and best practices in accordance with the current national legal framework and the lessons learned from the vetting process.

The document will serve as a practical guide for HIJ inspectors, setting out clear standards and procedures for verifying the consistency, legality and ethical integrity of asset declarations.

EU4Justice expert, Lieutenant Colonel De Ventura, presented the draft methodology, highlighting how to proceed in cases of high-level corruption.

This interactive and practice-oriented approach will aim to enable further adaptation of the methodology where necessary, without compromising its core principles, while strengthening professional judgment, procedural guarantees and compliance with internationally recognized standards in the field of asset verification.

The two-day training was conducted in 6 sessions, starting with an overview of the methodology for verifying assets, their checking, the most common methods for concealing assets, ownership, or origin, as well as procedures starting with the sources of evidence, verification of evidence, and more.

HIGH INSPECTOR OF JUSTICE  
NEWSLETTER



## PRESS RELEASE ON THE COMPLETION OF THE VERIFICATION INITIATED ON INITIATIVE



The High Inspector of Justice has completed the mainly initiated verification of the information made public in the media, where allegations of ethical violations are raised, provided for by article 102, point 2, letter “dh” of law no. 96/1016 “On the status of judges and prosecutors in the Republic of Albania”, as amended, by the prosecutor Mr. Gjergj Ceka and by the judge Ms. Megi Strakosha, with the lawyer Mr. Ulian Bajrami, during the debate held in the session of 17.10.2025 at the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction of Elbasan, for the determination of the security measure against the citizen M. L. (S.), which ended with the arrest of the lawyer.

The High Inspector of Justice preliminarily assesses that the facts (actions or inactions of the magistrate), which may constitute a disciplinary violation, according to the requirements of Law No. 96/2016 “On the Status of Judges and Prosecutors in the Republic of Albania”, as amended, are not only those that are presented on the basis of public information, but are those that are analyzed by the High Inspector of Justice, or assessed on the basis of a verification process or disciplinary investigation. On the basis of public information, a set of facts may be presented, which are alleged to have occurred and which constitute a disciplinary violation, but the High Inspector of Justice may make a precise or different characterization of the facts and actions related to the disciplinary violation, without being linked to the definition that may be claimed in this public information.

In the context of the verification of this case, the High Inspector of Justice has administered from the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction in Elbasan, copies of the minutes of the court session, where 14 persons were present, for whom the legality of the arrest in flagrante delicto would be validated and a personal security measure would be assigned. The debate between the lawyer and the prosecutor with questions, answers and frequent mutual interventions between them, began after the questioning of the person under investigation M. L. (S.), who was defended by lawyer Ulian Barjami. The debate between the lawyer and the prosecutor, accompanied from time to time by the intervention of the person under investigation M. S., focused on “the expressions/words spoken by the person under investigation, citizen M. S., to the police officers at the moment of being caught in flagrante delicto”, which also included life-threatening statements. A debate (questions, answers, interventions) was also held between the lawyer and the judge of the case, to clarify the context of the use of these expressions/words towards the police, by the person under investigation protected by him at the moment of being caught red-handed. The debate contained statements by the lawyer that he would personally deal with the prosecutor and all police officers and the prosecutor’s response was that the lawyer would be arrested for these statements. It results that the judge drew the attention of the lawyer and the prosecutor regarding the ethics of behavior towards each other and focus on the facts, emphasizing that they are what convince the court.

In analyzing the actions and inaction of the magistrate in relation to ethical standards and rules of conduct, the High Inspector of Justice assesses that the provisions of Law no. 96/2016 “On the status of judges and prosecutors in the Republic of Albania”, as amended, which provide for disciplinary violations, cannot be interpreted in a limited manner, but must be interpreted and applied in an expanded manner. This is due to the fact that it is not easy to specify the exact content of actions/omissions (behavior) and there is no exhaustive definition, except for the existence of a number of examples defined in the Standards of Ethics and Rules of Conduct of the Prosecutor.

The High Inspector of Justice assesses that the behavior of magistrates remains very important, since the magistrate must have full confidence, must be aware that his function and role cannot be simply a profession, but is a model of behavior or lifestyle, which carries values, which must not only be transmitted, but also be displayed in public at all times. For this reason, magistrates must behave and act at all times in accordance with ethical and moral values.

From the verification of the case:

- It results that the prosecutor did not exercise self-restraint and violated the rules of solemnity and ethics in communication. Taking the floor several times in the session without the permission of the court, despite being a form of reaction prompted by the statements and expressions of the lawyer, was not at the highest level of ethical standards. Ethical standards are not applied only during the fulfillment of duties and respect for the law like any other person, but also by behaving with integrity, honesty, self-restraint and discretion, both during and outside the exercise of duty. The personality of magistrates as well as strict adherence to ethical standards in and outside of work are of extraordinary importance for the credibility of individuals, participants in judicial processes, the justice system as a whole and the authority of state institutions in particular.
- It is taken into account that the reason for the start of the debate and its focus were the expressions used towards the police by the person under investigation at the moment of being caught red-handed, the fact that the defense attorney, in the debate with the prosecutor, raised direct accusations against him regarding one of the allegedly falsified pieces of evidence (during the debate, an expression made by the lawyer was described by the judge as a “threat in the courtroom”), as well as the fact that the situation in the country during this period towards justice officials was serious, due to the murder of Judge A. K.

- It turns out that as a result of the magistrate’s communication not being at the highest level of ethical standards, there were no concrete consequences regarding the exercise of the prosecutor’s function, as well as his role as a magistrate, and the prosecutor’s behavior was isolated and occurred only once and did not create grounds for inappropriate behavior in other similar cases. At the same time, it results that the prosecutor has a seniority in office of 5 years, a relatively short period of his experience as a prosecutor magistrate.

Meanwhile, regarding the behavior of Judge Ms. Megi Strakosha, during the conduct of the session on 17.10.2025, from the verification of the case it is assessed that Judge Ms. Megi Strakosha did not violate the rules of solemnity, the rules of conduct in relations with the parties or the rules of ethics.

- It results that the judge drew the attention of both the prosecutor and the party’s lawyer, as it also results that she requested clarification of the situation from both the lawyer and the prosecutor. The judge has acted in accordance with the provisions of Article 341 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, since her duty was to guarantee respect for the authority of the court, the solemnity of the trial and security in the courtroom, as well as the avoidance of any insults and threats in the trial. When the judge found actions that violated the solemnity of the trial, she warned of consequences, such as the lawyer’s removal from the courtroom and the appointment of another lawyer, in particular, if the lawyer continued with the same communication.

From the analysis of the data collected from the verification, no legal or factual elements are found in advance, which create a reasonable suspicion for the High Inspector of Justice to initiate a disciplinary investigation against the prosecutor magistrate Mr. Gjergji Ceka, acting as prosecutor at the Prosecution Office at the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction in Elbasan and against the magistrate judge Ms. Megi Strakosha, acting as judge of the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction in Elbasan at the time of the alleged violation. For this reason, the information made public in the media regarding the allegations raised for ethical violations must be archived based on Article 122/4 of this law.

Regarding the violation of the rules of ethics by the magistrate Mr. Gjergji Ceka, the case will be referred to the High Prosecutorial Council to be taken into consideration in the ethical-professional assessment of this magistrate, according to the requirements of Article 77 of Law No. 96/2016 “On the status of judges and prosecutors in the Republic of Albania”, as amended.

## STUDY VISIT OF THE HIJ INSPECTION TEAM TO SPAIN ON DISCIPLINARY SUPERVISION



An inspection team of the Office of the High Inspector of Justice conducted a three-day study visit to two prosecution offices in Spain, with the support of the EU4Justice project. This working visit is part of the HIJ tradition of strengthening the professional capacities of inspectors through training and direct institutional exchange, with experiences and best practices applied by counterpart institutions in EU member states.

The HIJ team, composed of Magistrate Inspector Ms. Elsa Miha and Assistant Inspectors Ms. Alma Troshani and Ms. Migena Bako, began the study visit at the Office of the Prosecutor General in Madrid, where they were hosted by representatives of the Prosecutorial Inspection Service. They were introduced to the institutional role and mandate of prosecution inspectors, types of inspections, accountability mechanisms and the relations between inspectors and prosecutors. In the meeting with Mr. Francisco Moreno Carrasco, General Promoter of Disciplinary Actions, was provided an overview of the legal framework governing disciplinary supervision, disciplinary procedures and types of measures.

The second and third day of the visit were held at the Provincial Prosecution Office of Cuenca, where the HIJ team became acquainted with the practical implementation of the inspection in a prosecution office, starting from preparation to the conduct of the inspection, including inspection planning, preliminary data collection, methodology for obtaining case files, use of the electronic case management system, interviews with prosecutors and administrative staff up to the drafting of inspection findings, distinction between disciplinary and non-disciplinary cases, formulation of recommendations and the follow-up mechanisms for their implementation.

The visit concluded with discussions on lessons learned and the applicability of Spanish best practices in the Albanian institutional context, within the framework of improving disciplinary oversight mechanisms in Albania, promoting meritocracy, transparency, accountability and respect for independence and due process within the justice system, in line with EU standards.



## JANUARY OF HIJ IN NUMBERS

During January 2026, the Office of the High Inspector of Justice administered **149 complaints** which were submitted by **147 citizens and 2 by institution**. The Office ensured the standardized confirmation process of receiving complaints for newly submitted complaints in accordance with procedural requirements.

Complaints involving judges and prosecutors were assigned to Inspectors and are currently at different stages of processing, including initial review, archiving, verification, or draft decision issuance.

ARCHIVED	VERIFICATION	DISCIPLINARY PROCESS	THEMATIC INSPECTIONS
<p><b>Out of 149 cases reviewed, 92 decisions were rendered:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· 80 archiving decisions after initial review</li> <li>· 12 archiving decisions after verification</li> </ul>	<p><b>22 verification decisions</b></p>	<p><b>2 decisions for closing the disciplinary investigation</b> for 2 magistrates (1 judge , 1 prosecutor)</p>	<p><b>2 inspections completed:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "On the allocation of cases by draw, as well as the checking of electronic system reports. Inspection carried out at the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeal of General Jurisdiction, the Court of First Instance of General Jurisdiction Shkodra, for the period 01.01.2024-31.12.2024."</li> <li>2. "On the practice and reasons for the replacement of prosecutors. Inspection carried out in the Prosecution Offices at the Courts of First Instance of General Jurisdiction of Dibër, Durrës and Vlorë, for the period 01.01.2024-31.12.2024."</li> </ol>

## COMPLAINT PROCESS AT HIJ

To facilitate this process for interested persons, HIJ has published on the official website a special complaint form, which:

- Can be completed directly and submitted online;
- Can be downloaded, completed and sent by email to [info@ild.al](mailto:info@ild.al) or by mail at the address Boulevard "Dëshmorët e Kombit", Building no.13, Tirana. It can also be completed by being present personally at the Office of the High Inspector of Justice and if necessary, asking assistance by the team of the Complaints and Public relations Office.

Within 5 days Upon receiving the complaint, the High Inspector of Justice confirms in writing its receiving.

The criteria for the admissibility of the complaint are published on the official website of HIJ [www.ild.al](http://www.ild.al), in the section "How complaints are accepted".



*While assisting the complainants*

The team of complaints office welcomes citizens-complainants every working day from 09:00 am to 02:00 pm, while for increased communication with interested citizens, in addition to communication through the website and official address

The Office of the High Inspector of Justice has made available the phone number +355 4 2217217. Coordinator for the right to information is part of this sector.



## PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT OF DECISIONS

Even during the month of **January 2026**, the Office of the High Inspector of Justice made public announcements of archiving decisions for **5 complainants**, who were unreachable at the addresses communicated by them. In addition to the stands of the HIJ, according to the law, these announcements are also found on our official website and posted in the stands of the municipalities where the complainants come from.



## COORDINATOR FOR THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION

In accordance with the principles and rules provided for in law no. 119/2014 "On the right to information", the coordinator for the right to information in the Office of the High Inspector of Justice, enables every applicant the right to be familiar with public information, as well as communicates according to the need with the applicant regarding the processing of the request for public information.

Requests for information are filed by citizens, legal entities, interested groups, and can be send through the "Albanian Post", e-mail at the address: [info@ild.al](mailto:info@ild.al), as well as the e-mail of the coordinator for the right to information: [amanta.jasa@ild.al](mailto:amanta.jasa@ild.al).

The information provided in the transparency program is published and continuously updated in the register of requests and responses, within the legal deadline.

*During **January 2026**, at the Office of High Inspector of Justice, **14 requests** for information were received, which were processed in all cases within 5 days (the law provides that a response must be return to the applicant within 10 days).*

HIJ IN TWITTER

**Inspektori i Larte i Drejtësisë Artur...**  
@ILD\_Albania

Gjithmonë kënaqësi takimi në Prishtinë a Tiranë me dekanin e Fakultetit Juridik @UpJuridik z. Avni Puka dhe kolegët e tij. Kemi një bashkëpunim të shkëlqyer dhe shumë plane ambicioze për të ardhmen. #ILD 🇲🇪 🇦🇱 🇪🇺



From ild.al

**Inspektori i Larte i Drejtësisë Artur...**  
@ILD\_Albania

Inter-institutional cooperation in Kosovo contributes to the improvement of ethical and professional standards of justice ⚖️ in our two countries. #ILD 🇲🇪 🇦🇱 🇪🇺  
[ild.al/sq/2026/01/28/...](http://ild.al/sq/2026/01/28/...)



INSPEKTORI I LARTE I DREJTËSISË ZHVILLOI VIZITË PUNE NË KOSO...

From ild.al

**Inspektori i Larte i Drejtësisë Artur...**  
@ILD\_Albania

📍 Weekly processing of complaints at #ILD 🇲🇪

- ✅ 8570 confirmed
- ✅ 6560 processed
- ✅ 2010 under processing
- ✅ 139 under verification
- ✅ 144 magistrates investigated
- ✅ 53 requests for disciplinary proceedings

? Have you had experience with us? Tell us! 🗣️ 🇲🇪 🇦🇱  
[ild.al/sq/ankete/](http://ild.al/sq/ankete/)



Confirmed Complaints	Processed Complaints	Complaints in the review process	Investigated magistrates
8,570+	6,560	2,010	144
		139	53
Complaints in the verification process after the initial review			
Requests for disciplinary proceedings			

This information is updated every monday

**Thematic and Institutional Inspections**

THEMATIC INSPECTIONS	INSTITUTIONAL INSPECTIONS
21	15

**Inspektori i Larte i Drejtësisë Artur...**  
@ILD\_Albania

E nevojshme të shndërrojmë çdo praktike të mirë pune në standard të qëndrueshëm, si mjet i shtuar që do i shërbejë forcimit të besimit të qytetarëve tek drejtësia e institucionet. #ILD 🇲🇪 #EU4Justice 🇪🇺 🗣️



ILD PËR BASHKËPUNIMIN ME EU4JUSTICE: TË SHNDRROJMË CD...

From ild.al

**Inspektori i Larte i Drejtësisë Artur...**  
@ILD\_Albania

STAFI I ILD-SË TRAJNIM PËR PËRDORIMIN E INTELIGJENCËS ARTIFICIALE NË SISTEMIN E DREJTËSISË -



STAFI I ILD-SË TRAJNIM PËR PËRDORIMIN E INTELIGJENCËS ARTIFI...


From ild.al

**Inspektori i Larte i Drejtësisë Artur...**  
@ILD\_Albania

📍 Weekly Processing of Complaints in #ILD 🇲🇪

- ✅ 8617 confirmed
- ✅ 6578 processed
- ✅ 2039 in processing

More on our page [ild.al](http://ild.al)  
? Have you had an experience with us? Tell us! 🗣️ 🇲🇪 🇦🇱  
[ild.al/sq/ankete/](http://ild.al/sq/ankete/)



Confirmed Complaints	Processed Complaints	Complaints in the review process	Investigated magistrates
8,617+	6,578	2,039	144
		139	53
Complaints in the verification process after the initial review			
Requests for disciplinary proceedings			

This information is updated every monday

**Thematic and Institutional Inspections**

THEMATIC INSPECTIONS	INSTITUTIONAL INSPECTIONS
21	15


JANUARY 2026

All rights reserved  
© HIJ



## CONTACT

WEB: [ild.al](http://ild.al)  
TEL: +35542217217  
EMAIL: [info@ild.al](mailto:info@ild.al)  
ADDRESS: Boulevard “Dëshmorët e Kombit”,  
Building no. 13, Tiranë, Shqipëri



Spot prezantues ILD  
Prezantimi i Inspektorit të Lartë të Drejtësisë  
[youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)



Më skano!